



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,654	01/18/2002	William Ho Chang	FLEX 2403	9670
7812	7590	11/29/2005	EXAMINER	
SMITH-HILL AND BEDELL, P.C. 16100 NW CORNELL ROAD, SUITE 220 BEAVERTON, OR 97006			LETT, THOMAS J	
			ART. UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/053,654

**Applicant(s)**

CHANG ET AL.

**Examiner**

Thomas J. Lett

**Art Unit**

2626

– The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 January 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 January 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date none.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION*****Drawings***

1. Figures 1A, 1B, 4A, and 4B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. In the description of the drawings, these figures are described as conventional. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: Fig. 1A illustrates reference step "140", Fig. 2A illustrates reference "202", Fig. 2B illustrates reference "204", and Fig. 10 illustrates reference "1010". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If

Art Unit: 2626

the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### ***Specification***

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: paragraph 0160, on page 44, makes reference to element "720" in Fig. 11A but the element is not found in any of the figures.

Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Objections***

4. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "device is printing device" should read "device is a printing device". Appropriate correction is required.

5. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 7 should depend from claim 6. Appropriate correction is required.

6. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "obtained form the output device" should read "obtained from the output device". Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2626

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 18-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Buckley et al (USPN 6,798,530 B1).

With respect to claim 18, Buckley et al disclose a method of outputting an image of a digital document that can be accessed by an information apparatus (general purpose computer 100, Fig.2) to an output system (printer server 200, links 220 and 222, and printers 300 or 310, col. 5, lines 32-36), the output system including information related to predetermined standard rasterization parameter values (Fig. 4, showing rendering options of a document) that include one or more of bit depth, color space, output size, and resolution (Fig. 2 and col. 7, lines 4-20, the generating of print data compatible or acceptable to a meta-printer 300 or 310, implemented by a processor 120, and discloses a virtual printer definition 430 that includes various settings such as the graphic resolution and paper size 450, col. 7, lines 35-46, and see Fig. 3), said method comprising:

generating image data by rasterizing the digital document on the information apparatus in accordance at least in part with at least one predetermined standard rasterization parameter value (Fig. 4, showing rendering options of a document),

creating on the information apparatus (creating on the general purpose computer 100, Fig.2 using a graphical user interface 400 of Fig. 4) an intermediate output data that includes the image data,

transmitting the intermediate output data from the information apparatus to an output system (printer server 200, links 220 and 222, and printers 300 or 310, col. 5, lines 32-36) that includes an output engine that outputs an image with at least one device specific value that includes bit depth, color space, output size, or resolution (a virtual printer definition 430 that includes various settings such as the graphic resolution and paper size 450, col. 7, lines 35-46, and see Fig. 3),

recovering the image data from the intermediate output data, converting the image data with the at least one predetermined standard rasterization parameter value to instructions compatible with the output engine that include the at least one device specific value (a user can select to have the document data sent to a compatible printer device using default or selected settings, col. 8, lines 7-13),

providing the instructions (rendering options provided to the output environment, col. 8, lines 14-23) to the output engine, and operating the output engine in response to said instructions and thereby outputting an image of the digital document.

With respect to claim 19, Buckley et al disclose a method according to claim 18, wherein the output device is a printing device and the output engine is a marking engine (printers 300 or 310, col. 5, lines 32-36).

With respect to claim 20, Buckley et al disclose a method according to claim 18, comprising selecting said output system from among a plurality of available output

systems (selecting either of printers 300 or 310, col. 5, lines 32-36) and uploading at least one value specifying said predetermined rasterization parameters (col. 7, lines 35-48) to the information apparatus.

With respect to claim 21, Buckley et al disclose a method of outputting an image of a digital document that can be accessed by an information apparatus (general purpose computer 100, Fig.2), the digital document including at least part of a text or graphics information (text or graphics, col. 4, lines 30-34), said method comprising:

selecting an output device model from a menu of models (printers 300 or 310, col. 5, lines 32-36) presented by the information apparatus, each model of output device including an output engine, and each output engine being characterized by a value of a rasterization vector (printers are defined by rendering options, col. 4, lines 9-38),

accessing a value of the rasterization vector related to the output engine of the selected model (col. 4, lines 43-55),

rasterizing the digital document on the information apparatus in accordance with said rasterization vector to generate image data (Fig. 4, showing rendering options of a document),

creating an intermediate output data on the information apparatus that includes the image data (creating on the general purpose computer 100, Fig.2 using a graphical user interface 400 of Fig. 4),

transmitting the intermediate output data from the information apparatus to an output device of said selected model (a user can select to have the document data sent to a compatible printer device using default or selected settings, col. 8, lines 7-13),

recovering the image data from the intermediate output data (a user can select to have the document data sent to a compatible printer device using default or selected settings, col. 8, lines 7-13),

converting the image data to instructions compatible with the output engine of the output device (a user can select to have the document data sent to a compatible printer device using default or selected settings for document conversion, col. 8, lines 7-13),

providing the instructions to the output engine of the output device (rendering options provided to the output environment, col. 8, lines 14-23), and operating the operating engine in response to said instructions and thereby outputting an image of the digital document.

With respect to claim 22, Buckley et al disclose a method according to claim 21, wherein the rasterization vector is obtained from the output device (the selected virtual printers define their rendering options for a document, col. 4, lines 46-55).

With respect to claim 23, Buckley et al disclose a method according to claim 21, wherein the output device is a printing device and the output engine is a marking engine (printers 300 or 310, col. 5, lines 32-36).

With respect to claim 24, Buckley et al disclose a method of outputting an image of a digital document that can be accessed by an information apparatus (general purpose computer 100, Fig.2), said method comprising:

rasterizing the digital document on the information apparatus to generate image data (Fig. 4, showing rendering options of a document),



creating an intermediate output data (creating on the general purpose computer 100, Fig.2 using a graphical user interface 400 of Fig. 4) on the information apparatus, the intermediate output data including the image data, the image data being composed of a background layer and at least one foreground layer (disclosed in col. 9, lines 26-36 using mixed raster content),

transmitting the intermediate output data to an output device that includes an output engine (a user can select to have the document data sent to a compatible printer device using default or selected settings, col. 8, lines 7-13),

recovering the image data from the intermediate output data (a user can select to have the document data sent to a compatible printer device using default or selected settings, col. 8, lines 7-13),

converting the image data into instructions compatible with the output engine (a user can select to have the document data sent to a compatible printer device using default or selected settings for document conversion, col. 8, lines 7-13),

providing the instructions to the output engine (rendering options are provided to the output environment, col. 8, lines 14-23), and

operating the output engine in response to said instructions and thereby outputting an image of the digital document (printing to printers 300 or 310, col. 5, lines 32-36).

With respect to claim 25, Buckley et al disclose a method according to claim 24, wherein the image data comprises a background layer and at least one pair of layers

Art Unit: 2626

composed of a foreground layer and a mask layer (disclosed in col. 9, lines 26-36 using mixed raster content).

With respect to claim 26, Buckley et al disclose an imaging system comprising:

a local area network (col. 5, line 56) having a propagation medium and at least first and second nodes, said first node including an information apparatus (general purpose computer 100, Fig.2) and said second node including an output device (printer server 200, links 220 and 222, and printers 300 or 310, col. 5, lines 32-36), said output device including an output engine for outputting images,

a first means on the information apparatus (creating on the general purpose computer 100, Fig.2 using a graphical user interface 400 of Fig. 4) for rasterizing a digital document to generate image data,

a second means on the information apparatus for creating an intermediate output data that includes the image data (processor 120, col. 6, lines 45-47),

a third means on the information apparatus for impressing the intermediate output data on the propagation medium (printer driver memory portion 134, col. 6, lines 43-47), and an output controller (printer server 200 for implementing printer definitions, col. 7, lines 25-29) at the second node for retrieving the image data from the intermediate output data and converting the image data into instructions compatible with the output engine.

With respect to claim 27, Buckley et al disclose an imaging system according to claim 26, wherein the information apparatus (general purpose computer 100, Fig.2) includes a means for storing values for the predetermined standard size and resolution

(printer driver memory portion 134, col. 6, lines 43-47) and the first means (graphical user interface 400 of Fig. 4) is adapted to rasterize the digital document to said predetermined standard output size and resolution.

With respect to claim 28, Buckley et al disclose an imaging system according to claim 26, wherein the output device includes a means for uploading to the information apparatus an output device profile that specifies device specific rasterization parameter values that include one or more of bit depth, output size and resolution to the information apparatus (the selected virtual printers define their rendering options for a document, col. 4, lines 46-55).

With respect to claim 29, Buckley et al disclose a method of outputting an image of a digital document that can be accessed by an information apparatus (general purpose computer 100, Fig.2), said method including:

(a) establishing bidirectional communication (via LAN and links 210, 220, and 222) between the information apparatus (general purpose computer 100, Fig.2) and at least two output devices (printers 300 and 310, see Fig. 2),

(b) receiving a message from a first available output device specifying a feature of the first available output device (GUI displays selectable features as shown in Figs. 4 and 5 for printers connected to the system),

(c) determining from the message from the available output device whether the feature of the available output device matches a requirement for outputting the digital document (features not available for the printer would not be shown as a selectable

Art Unit: 2626

option. Examiner notes that it is inherent that a non-available feature would be unselectable or "grayed-out" in the options pane for the printer),

(d) if so, selecting said available output device (user can use GUI to choose any available printer connected to the system) and transmitting image data to the selected output device, and otherwise receiving a message from another available output device specifying a feature of the other available output device (user can use GUI to choose another available printer connected to the system), and (e) repeating steps (c) and (d) (Examiner notes that a user can repeatedly select steps (c) and (d) until the user has found a printer that the user feels is optimal to rendering a document).

With respect to claim 30, Buckley et al disclose a method according to claim 29, comprising, prior to step (b), transmitting from the information apparatus a message that calls for a receiving output device to transmit a message that specifies a feature of the respective output device (prior to step (b) a user operates a GUI to display selectable features as shown in Figs. 4 and 5 for printers connected to the system).

With respect to claim 31, Buckley et al disclose a method according to claim 29 in which the feature includes one or more of a quality of service (a user operates a GUI to display selectable features and availability as shown in Figs. 4 and 5 for printers connected to the system), a price indicator, a status indicator, an availability indicator, and an output data format indicator.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin et al (US Patent 6,941,014 B2) in view of Buckley et al (US Patent 6,798,530 B1).

With respect to claim 1, Lin et al disclose a method of operating an information apparatus (image processing unit 52, col. 4, lines 44-48) that is on a local area network (see Fig. 2) for outputting an image of a digital document (pixel map 54, col. 4, lines 49-51) that can be accessed by the information apparatus, the digital document including at least part of a text or graphics information (the pixel map contains three planes consisting of text and/or graphics, col. 6, lines 35-51), said method comprising:

rasterizing the digital document on the information apparatus to generate image data (the system is operative to generate a scanned, rasterized image and a corresponding pixel map so that the image may be digitally stored in the buffer, col. 4, lines 17-21),

creating an intermediate output data from the image data (segmentation module 60 includes a plurality of distinct segmentation modules 100 operative to generate image segmentation data representing various characteristics of the image data, col. 5, lines 4-10),

recovering the image data from the intermediate output data (storage section 26 saves image data before rendering, Fig. 2),

providing the instructions to the output engine (image is sent to the printer, col. 4, lines 15-17), and

operating the output engine in response to said instructions and thereby outputting an image of the digital document (image is sent to the print engine 32 for printing, col. 4, lines 15-17).

Lin et al does not disclose expressly an output engine that outputs images with a device-specific output size and resolution and converting the image data to instructions compatible with the output engine, based at least in part on the device-specific output size and resolution of the output engine. Buckley et al discloses a virtual printer definition 430 that includes various settings such as the graphic resolution and paper size 450, col. 7, lines 35-46, and sees Fig. 3. Lin et al and Buckley et al are analogous art because they are from the similar problem solving area of image rendering. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the resolution and size setting feature of Buckley et al to the image processing system of Lin et al in order to obtain an image processing system capable of rendering an image specific to an output device. The motivation for doing so would be to convert an image based on capability of an output device.

With respect to claim 2, Lin et al disclose a method according to claim 1, wherein the output engine is one of a marking engine (xerographic print engine 58), a display engine and a projection engine.

With respect to claim 3, Lin et al disclose a method according to claim 1, wherein the intermediate output data includes a mixed raster content encoding (Fig. 4 shows the 3-layer mixed raster content prior to becoming 4-layer mixed raster content).

With respect to claim 4, Lin et al do not disclose that the output device is a printing device that includes a printer controller and an output controller, the method further comprising,

generating with the output controller a print data that is acceptable to the printer controller and including the recovered image data into the print data, and

passing the print data to the printer controller for converting the image data into instructions compatible with the output engine, based at least in part on the device-specific output size and resolution of the marking engine.

Buckley et al teach in Fig. 2 and col. 7, lines 4-20 the generating of print data compatible or acceptable to a meta-printer 300 or 310, implemented by a processor 120, and discloses a virtual printer definition 430 that includes various settings such as the graphic resolution and paper size 450, col. 7, lines 35-46, and see Fig. 3.

Lin et al and Buckley et al are analogous art because they are from the similar problem solving area of image rendering. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the resolution and size setting feature of Buckley et al to the image processing system of Lin et al in order to obtain an image processing system capable of rendering an image specific to an output device. The motivation for doing so would be to convert an image based on capability of an output device.

With respect to claim 5, Lin et al do not disclose that the print data includes a page description language and the method comprises generating with the output controller a page description language (PDL) representation of the digital document to the printer controller and interpreting with the printer controller the PDL representation and converting the image data based at least in part on said device-specific output size and resolution.

Buckley et al teach of a virtual printer definition 430 that includes various settings such as the graphic resolution and paper size 450, col. 7, lines 35-46, and see Fig. 3.

Lin et al and Buckley et al are analogous art because they are from the similar problem solving area of image rendering. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the resolution and size setting feature of Buckley et al to the image processing system of Lin et al in order to obtain an image processing system capable of rendering an image specific to an output device. The motivation for doing so would be to convert an image based on capability of an output device.

With respect to claim 6, Lin et al do not expressly disclose a method according to claim 1, wherein the image included in the intermediate output data is encoded at least with predetermined standard output size and resolution and the rasterizing step includes calculating at least one scale factor relating to the output size and resolution of the digital document to said predetermined standard output size and resolution and employing said scale factor as a rasterization parameter in the rasterizing step.



Buckley et al teach of, using an incorporated reference, a document being converted into data that is necessary to render the output based on an output profile, col. 9, lines 18-36.

Lin et al and Buckley et al are analogous art because they are from the similar problem solving area of image rendering. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the resolution and size setting feature of Buckley et al to the image processing system of Lin et al in order to obtain an image processing system capable of rendering an image specific to an output device. The motivation for doing so would be to convert an image based on capability of an output device.

With respect to claim 7, discloses Lin et al do not expressly disclose that the predetermined standard output size and resolution is included in the output device and the step of converting the image data to instructions compatible with the output engine further includes converting the image data from at least the standard output size and resolution to the output size and resolution of the output engine.

Buckley et al teach in Fig. 2 and col. 7, lines 4-20 the generating of print data compatible or acceptable to a meta-printer 300 or 310, implemented by a processor 120, and discloses a virtual printer definition 430 that includes various settings such as the graphic resolution and paper size 450, col. 7, lines 35-46, and see Fig. 3.

Lin et al and Buckley et al are analogous art because they are from the similar problem solving area of image rendering. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the resolution and size setting

feature of Buckley et al to the image processing system of Lin et al in order to obtain an image processing system capable of rendering an image specific to an output device.

The motivation for doing so would be to convert an image based on capability of an output device.

With respect to claim 8, Lin et al disclose a method according to claim 1, wherein the step of creating the intermediate output data includes at least one of compression (Lin et al teach of an incorporated reference using compression of documents in the block-based segmentation module 200, col. 5, lines 50-58, and Fig. 4), encoding, encryption and color correction.

With respect to claim 9, Lin et al disclose a method according to claim 1, wherein the step of creating the intermediate output data includes creating an intermediate output data that includes at least one of an image (the optimized image data is compressed, stored, transmitted, and/or rendered, col. 5, lines 43-49), instructions, and a color profile.

With respect to claim 10, Lin et al disclose a method according to claim 1, wherein the step of recovering the raster image data from the intermediate output data includes at least one of decoding, decryption, and decompression (via decompression module 68, col. 4, lines 56-59, and col. 10, lines 14-15).

With respect to claim 11, discloses a method according to claim 1, wherein the step of converting the image data to instructions includes at least one of color space conversion, scaling, interpolation, color matching and halftoning (Lin et al teach of an incorporated reference using compression of documents in the block-based

segmentation module 200, col. 5, lines 50-58, and Fig. 4 and teaches of processing image data of low/high-frequency halftone and contone, etc., col. 7, lines 7-14).

With respect to claim 12, Lin et al do not disclose obtaining a rasterization vector to the information apparatus and using said rasterization vector in the rasterizing step.

Buckley et al teach that the selected virtual printers define their rendering options for a document, col. 4, lines 46-55).

Lin et al and Buckley et al are analogous art because they are from the similar problem solving area of image rendering. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the obtaining of a rasterization setting feature of Buckley et al to the image processing system of Lin et al in order to obtain an image processing system capable of rendering an image specific to an output device. The motivation for doing so would be to convert an image based on capability of an output device.

With respect to claim 13, Lin et al do not disclose a method wherein the rasterization vector has at least one component related to the output device and includes one or more of an output size, resolution, color space, and bit depth.

Buckley et al teach of a virtual printer definition 430 that includes various settings such as the graphic resolution and paper size 450, col. 7, lines 35-46, and see Fig. 3.

Lin et al and Buckley et al are analogous art because they are from the similar problem solving area of image rendering. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the obtaining of a rasterization setting feature of Buckley et al to the image processing system of Lin et al

Art Unit: 2626

in order to obtain an image processing system capable of rendering an image specific to an output device. The motivation for doing so would be to convert an image based on capability of an output device.

With respect to claim 14, Lin et al do not disclose a method according to claim 12, wherein at least one component of the rasterization vector is based on a predetermined standard value or default.

Buckley et al teach that a user can select to have the document data sent to a compatible printer device using default or selected settings, col. 8, lines 7-13.

Lin et al and Buckley et al are analogous art because they are from the similar problem solving area of image rendering. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the obtaining of a default setting feature of Buckley et al to the image processing system of Lin et al in order to obtain an image processing system capable of rendering an image specific to an output device. The motivation for doing so would be to convert an image based on capability of an output device.

With respect to claim 15, Lin et al do not disclose that the rasterization vector is obtained from the output device.

Buckley et al teach that the selected virtual printers define their rendering options for a document, col. 4, lines 46-55.

Lin et al and Buckley et al are analogous art because they are from the similar problem solving area of image rendering. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the display of a printer's

Art Unit: 2626

features of Buckley et al to the image processing system of Lin et al in order to obtain an image processing system capable of showing the capabilities of a remote output device. The motivation for doing so would be to convert an image based on capability of an output device.

With respect to claim 16, Lin et al do not disclose that the method includes selecting an output device description from a plurality of output device descriptions presented to a user of the information apparatus.

Buckley et al teach in Fig. 6 the selection of optional printers based on their rendering capabilities.

Lin et al and Buckley et al are analogous art because they are from the similar problem solving area of image rendering. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the printer selection feature of Buckley et al to the image processing system of Lin et al in order to obtain an image processing system capable of showing the capabilities of a remote output device. The motivation for doing so would be to convert an image based on capability of an output device.

With respect to claim 17, Lin et al do not disclose inputting user preferences as components of a rasterization vector and using said rasterization vector in the rasterizing step.

Buckley et al teach of inputting rendering options using a graphical user interface 400 of Fig. 4.

Art Unit: 2626

Lin et al and Buckley et al are analogous art because they are from the similar problem solving area of image rendering. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the GUI feature of Buckley et al to the image processing system of Lin et al in order to obtain an image processing system capable of rendering a document based on the capabilities of a remote output device. The motivation for doing so would be to convert an image based on capability of an output device.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Lett whose telephone number is (571)272-7464. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-3:30pm.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly A. Williams can be reached on (571)272-7471. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TJL

TJL

  
MARK WALLERSON  
PRIMARY EXAMINER